

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,647	12/01/2000	Shaikh Ghaleb Mohammad Yassin Alhamad	VI-CIP 5	5958
75	90 08/14/2002			
Charles E. Cates Cates & Holloway P.O. BOX 1532 .6340 E. Thomas Road Scottsdale, AZ 85252-1532			EXAMINER	
			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1772	6
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mx.b				
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary	09/728,647	ALHAMAD, SHAIKH GHALEB MOHAMMAD YASSIN				
One Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William P. Watkins III	1772				
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Peri d for Reply	oears on the cever sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 I	<u>March 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/728,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The examiner has revised the Form 1449 of the IDS filed 05 March 2001 to reflect consideration of all copies of the references supplied in the 09/658,595 sister case. Copies of the effected sheets of the completed Form 1449 are attached to the instant office action.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stock (U.S. 3,825,465) in view of Kinney (U.S. 312,864).

Stock teaches an expanded sheet material which may be made of plastics or paper or cardboard and which may be filled with tar products and an aggregate such as plaster (col. 2, lines 10-40). Kinney teaches the use of an expanded sheet material where

Application/Control Number: 09/728,647

Art Unit: 1772

the slits are transverse to the longitudinal direction of the sheet and intersect the edge of the sheet in order to be expanded into a three dimensional structure which has great strength and stiffness (page 2, lines 25-35, Figure 1). A compact intermediate form of the product is taught (Figures 3 and 2). The instant invention claims an expanded sheet material with tar and aggregate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a combination of tar and plaster from the possible coating and filler materials taught by Stock as options. It further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the transverse slits of Kinney for the longitudinal slits of Stock in order to produce a strong and still structure because of the teachings of Kinney. Variation of the taught sheet materials with these coating and fillers would also have been obvious. Transportation of construction materials to a job site in a compact form for field assembly in well known in the construction art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transport the unexpanded mesh of Stock in view of Kinney in a common intermediate compact sheet material storage form such as a roll for field assembly and joining with aggregate and tar in order

Art Unit: 1772

to have ease of transportation to the construction job site, in view of the above noted well known practice.

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/728,647 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Million M. Whilliell

WW/ww August 11, 2002